



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,295	03/03/2004	Yoshinobu Suchiro	PTGF-03109	3532
21254 7590 05/01/2009 MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817				
EXAMINER ARENA, ANDREW OWENS				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2811				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/01/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/791,295

**Applicant(s)**

SUEHIRO ET AL.

**Examiner**

Andrew O. Arena

**Art Unit**

2811

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew O. Arena.(3) Phillip E. Miller.(2) Lynne Gurley.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 April 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 46.

Identification of prior art discussed: Soules.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed claim language regarding recess dimensions, Figs 5C&6, and spec pg 13 ln 1-3 & pg 16 ln 2-6. Discussed ideas for claim language to overcome current rejection, especially with regard to features of the phosphor layer and lens, including features discussed in spec pg 12 ln 22-25. Examiner indicated full consideration will be given to the formally filed response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andrew O. Arena/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2811

/Lynne A. Gurley/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2811